## DRAFT MINUTES (Verified with meeting transcript)

# CENTRAL INTERSTATE LLRW COMMISSION Special Meeting THURSDAY, November 18, 2021 at 10:00 A.M.

In compliance with Commission Bylaws and Rules, a meeting notice announcing the date, time, location, and agenda availability was emailed to the interested public on Thursday, November 11, 2021.

The Special Meeting of the Central Interstate Low-Level Radioactive Waste Compact Commission (CILLRWCC) was held Thursday, November 18, 2021 via Teleconference to discuss the audit, the conversion of files in storage to electronic files, and all other business to come before the Commission.

The meeting was called to order at approximately 10:05 a.m. and the first item agenda was for roll to be called. Responding to the roll call was Kelly Dixon, Oklahoma Commissioner and Chair; Scott Blackwell, Louisiana Commissioner; and Richard Brunetti, Alternate Kansas Commissioner.

The Administrator, Kristie Ann Valtierra; Alternate Oklahoma Commissioner, Mike Stickney; and Court Reporter, Christy Myers, Kendra Robben, Attorney, and Jon Roberts, previous Oklahoma Commissioner were also present on the conference call.

#### See transcript pages 3-4

The Chair addressed the second agenda item to discuss records retention recommendations from attorney and the status of the audit. The Chair began with asking Kendra Robben, Attorney to give a high-level summary of her recommendation she had submitted to the commission. Ms. Robben was assigned the task of reviewing the law relating to a records retention policy and found that digitizing records is acceptable in the host state; therefore, the Commission should adopt a records retention policy and operate in accordance with that policy. The Chair then passed the floor to the Administrator to discuss the status of the audit. The Administrator advised that she was working with the auditor to complete outstanding audits for Fiscal Years 2019, 2020, and 2021. The commission would receive three separate audits for these years. Currently, there is no pending delivery date.

#### See transcript pages 4-17

The Chair then addressed the fourth agenda item to discuss scheduling of a special meeting upon receipt of audit to stay on topic of the audit. It was determined that a meeting would be scheduled within 30 days of the receipt of the audits to give the commissioners time to review them.

See transcript pages 17-20

The Chair moved onto the previous agenda item to hear Commissioners' Reports. end of the month. All commissioners stated they had no new information to report.

See transcript pages 20-21

Adjournment - The meeting was adjourned at 10:28am.

See transcript page 21

Transcript is attached as an official part of these Minutes.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	* * * *
8	TRANSCRIPT OF RECORDED TELECONFERENCE
9	SPECIAL MEETING OF THE
10	CENTRAL INTERSTATE LOW LEVEL RADIOACTIVE
11	WASTE COMMISSION
12	ON NOVEMBER 18, 2021, AT 10:00 A.M.
13	IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
14	* * * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	TRANSCRIBED BY: Christy A. Myers, C.S.R.
25	
49	

1	ΑP	P	E A	A R	A	N	1 C	: E	E S	3	В	Y	•	r 1	<b>E</b> ]	<b>L</b> 1	€ (	C	0 :	N	F	E	R	E I	N ·	C I	D'	:																
2																																												
3			0	K	L	A	Н	0	M	Α		C	01	1 l	1]	[ 5	3 8	3 :	[ (	) I	N 1	<b>e</b> )	R	7	1	1 [	)	C	H	Α	Ι	R	P	E	R	S	С	N	Ι,					
4	ΚE	L	L 3	Č	D	Ι	X	: C	N	Ι,		P	R	E	5 1	<b>e</b> ]	N '	T																										
5			A	L	T	Þ	R	N	Α	T	E	1	0 1	K I	<u>.</u> 2	A I	Ι(	0 1	VI Z	A	(	2 (	01	I N	1 ]	[ 5	3 5	3 3		N	E	R	,		M	I	K	E	E					
6	ST	I	C I	C N	E	Y	7,		N	0	T		P	R I	E (	S I	<b>E</b> ]	N '	T																									
7			A	R	K	A	N	S	A	S		C	01	I I	1]	I S	3 5	S:	Ι(	0 1	N I	E :	R	,	(	3 E	EC	) F	3 5	3 E	S	0	V	10	R	B	E	: 3	ζ,		N	0	T	
8	PR	E	S I	E N	ľ	•																																						
9			K	A	N	S	A	S		C	0	M	М:	Ι .	3 8	3 ]	[ (	0 1	N I	<b>e</b> )	R	,	]	R I	[ (	CF	I Z	A F	l S	)	В	R	U	N	E	Τ	' T	? 1	Ι,					
10	PR	E	S I	E N	Ί	,																																						
11			L	0	U	Ι	S	Ι	A	N	A		C (	) I	11	M:	[ 8	S	<b>S</b> :	I	0 1	N :	E	R	2	A I	1 [	)	V	7 I	C	E	-	С	H	A	Ι	F	ζ,					
12	s c	0	T:	Г	В	L	A	, C	K	W	10	L	L	,		P	R:	E	S	E	N	T																						
13			K	R	I	S	T	I	E	3	V	A	L'	r	[ ]	E 1	<b>R</b> 1	R Z	A	,	1	A 1	D I	M 1	[ ]	N 1	[ 8	3 7	CI	R Z	ľ	: C	F	١,		F	F	R E	S	S E	E N	T		
14																																												
15	AL	S	0	P	R	E	S	E	N	T	1	0	N		r 1	E 1	4 1	E (	C (	0 1	N :	F	E	R I	<b>e</b> ]	N (	C I	E	(	2 2	Ι	, I												
16			C	H	R	Ι	S	Τ	Y	•	M	Y	E I	R S	3	,	(	2 (	Σ	JI	₹ .	r	I	R E	EE	? (	J.	ľ	E	F	2													
17				ГО																						ΞÇ	)																	
18			K	E	N	D	R	A		R	0	В	В:	E ]	N.	,	2	A :	r :	r (	נ כ	R I	N I	E :	Z																			
19																																												
20																																												
21																																												
22																																												
23																																												
24																																												
25																																												

### RECORDED TELECONFERENCE MEETING 1 2 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: All right. 3 Kristie, we can get started now. 4 MS. VALTIERRA: Okay. I'll go 5 ahead and call roll. 6 Kelly Dixon of Oklahoma? OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: 8 MS. VALTIERRA: Rick Brunetti of 9 Kansas? 10 KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Present. 11 MS. VALTIERRA: Scott Blackwell of 12 Louisiana? 13 LOUISIANA COMMISSIONER: Present. 14 MS. VALTIERRA: George Overbey of 15 Arkansas? 16 (No response) 17 MS. VALTIERRA: We have a quorum. 18 Any members of the public? 19 MR. ROBERTS: Jon Roberts, Oklahoma 20 DEQ. 21 MS. VALTIERRA: And our attorney 22 Kendra Robben. 23 MS. ROBBEN: Present. 24 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Okay. Good 25

morning, everyone. Thank you for calling in on this agenda today. We're going to start with the discussion on the records retention recommendation that we passed to Kendra Robben to do and I'm going to turn it over to her to give sort of a high level summary of her recommendation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ROBBEN: Thanks, Commissioner This is Kendra Robben. I believe that you guys have gotten the report -- the written report sent out to you which has sort of a key conclusion summary. But I completed the assigned task to review the law relating to a records retention policy and, essentially, the long and short of it is, is that I believe that we have no issue about digitizing records, that electronic versions of records are absolutely acceptable in Oklahoma which is the host state in which governs, and so I think that there's not an issue at all with the idea that we would (inaudible) digitized records and maintain records in a digitized format.

And then with regard to the possibility that we would actually, you know,

start to shred and dispose of the physical records that we have, because basically the Commission sort of is adopt the law of the host state in (inaudible) or the state of where the office is. So Oklahoma law would apply in that and essentially it requires that we adopt a records retention policy and have that approved by the archivist here and then we can operate in accordance with that policy and once we have that in place, if we want to go ahead and dispose of physical printed records, then we just work with our policy and have it approved which is a very simple process. So, I think that's kind of the long -- or the short version of that. And I'm happy to answer any questions that you guys had about the specific report or other, you know, thoughts and questions that we would have about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Kendra -Good morning. This is Rick Brunetti from
Kansas and I have a question for you in
regards to the -- in regards to the archived
records. You had spoken of the digitized
records being essentially as -- or in legal

circles essentially equivalent to a paper copy. If the materials that were archived or that are presently archived by -- by the low level radioactive waste compact are put into a digitized form, then is this going to be required of us -- at that point and time would we be able to just say that we have a particular record archived then?

MS. ROBBEN: Yes. If we've got an archived and portable digital copy, I think that those would be considered electronic records and that we wouldn't be disposing of anything. It's really kind of an abundance of caution thing that we might get approval from the archivist to go ahead and shred the paper copies.

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Okay.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: This is
Kelly Dixon. And, Rick, just to add to that,
what Kendra is touching on is this state law
that relates to records retention and records
disposition. Since we're the host state and
we're trying to follow Oklahoma state law as
commissioned then there's just a formal
process that we would follow to go through

the records destruction, the ease of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Very good. Okay. Thank you. Thank you for that clarification.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: This is Kelly, again. I have a question for the group, which is that -- or something we need to be thinking about. We're not taking any action on this today, I just want people to sort of review it and next time we meet we can put it on the agenda to make a -- for rulemaking. But the thing to think about to me is that we can digitize everything we have and save everything we have and the question to me becomes, do we already know if there's a subset of documents that don't really need to be preserved for the records that we want -- that are old enough and that don't have any relevance to the Commission anymore that we would want to just not retain at all. don't have to answer that today but I just want people to be thinking about that. thing that comes to my mind are the export applications and approvals. I'm not sure the relevance of that and you guys may know

better than me, but I'm just throwing that on the table.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ROBBEN: This is Kendra, again. And I think if we are just kind of thinking about what would be things for -for you guys to think about that I don't know as much about because I'm not sure exactly what types of records there might be, exactly to Commissioner Kelly's point. At the very end of the attachment or report that I sent, there's kind of the beginning of what would be a proposed draft of a record disposition schedule that we would have. And if you are kind of at the very last two pages of my part, it's sort of, you know, broken out by Commission governance records, minutes, financial records, audit reports, I don't have a good feel for what there are there but, you know, I think that that would be the other thing perhaps for Kristie as Administrator or -- you know, to start to look at that and make sure that they feel -- that you guys feel that the -- because I just was kind of suggesting a general thing here based on the standard phase disposition schedule. But if

there were -- you know, kind of just looking at that in light of what you feel that you do have and then, yes, kind of deciding if there are things that would be past the, you know, the time that our proposed record retention schedule would say we would need to keep them at all, whether it would be worth it to digitize in that instance or not.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: This is And to me the reason that's Kelly, again. important is, you know, we would be contracting for the work to digitize the documents. So if there's a subset of documents that we don't think need to be preserved, we wouldn't bother to go to the expense to have those digitized. know, if we're not ready to make a decision, I think Kendra's I don't care. recommendation is that we can start digitizing tomorrow or whenever we're ready. So I think that's going to be probably our next step.

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: This is Rick
Brunetti. Does anyone know if the state of
Oklahoma has a state contract with a
digitizing company or are the various Oklahoma

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

departments of -- or in government, are they just handling that on their own?

2.0

MS. VALTIERRA: Different agencies use different companies.

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Different agencies, okay.

CKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: This is
Kelly, again. Rick, for our agency, we have
a program where we are converting our
documents to digital documents, we do that
in-house, but I believe, Kristie, tell me if
I'm wrong, that we -- there are some
statewide contracts that are available and I
think if we're going to -- you know, we're
going to have to follow the bylaws in terms
of contracting to make sure we get an
appropriate bid and contractor to do the
work.

just wondering if one of the digitizing companies that have the statewide contract, if they might -- with some guidance from the Board if they will be able to disseminate between records that need to be digitized and those that do not?

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: This is Kelly, again. I would say the answer is no. I think that's going to be up to us to determine what that is. I mean, that wouldn't be their job.

if we had a schedule or if -- if we approved a schedule, would they be able to work from that? You don't think they would be able to work from that, Kelly, in order to disseminate which records needed to be digitized and which ones did not?

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: This is Kelly, again. Do you mean a schedule of disposition?

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Yes.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Again, I don't know. I'm not sure that they would be able to identify or distinguish between which types of documents. I would sort of see that as our record -- Kendra, what did you call it, a records retention officer?

MS. ROBBEN: Yes. This is Kendra.
Yeah. We would need appoint a records
retention officer and I would think that they

would be kind of the person responsible for, you know, deciding what needs to stay, you know, within the schedule and what can be disposed of.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Kelly
Dixon, again. From my perspective I would
say that the Administrator would be the
appropriate records retention administrator.
That's an idea I have but I don't know if you
guys have other ideas. The Administrator
would be one of us.

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Yeah. This is Rick Brunetti. I would totally agree with you, Kelly, that the Administrator would be the -- who would be the appropriate person, maybe with -- you know, maybe with guidance from that person.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Absolutely, I agree.

MS. VALTIERRA: We plan to do inventory on everything that is in there and create a sheet -- like a spreadsheet to let all the Commissioners know exactly what is in there and then we let them decide on what we keep and what we dispose of.

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: This is Rick Brunetti, again. Have we checked in order to determine where the records are essentially going to be retained? Are they just going to be on a hard drive, is it going to be on a cloud or is that something that we still need to study and look into a little more?

MS. VALTIERRA: That is something that will be included in the quote, and so when we actually take action on this and decide which way we want to go, we'll choose from the quote.

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Fantastic.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: This is

Kelly. And I just want to -- I think we need to direct -- if we're going to get quotes, we need to direct what we want the contractor to do. And the question would be, do we want them to put it in the cloud with a hard drive backup, do we want a hard drive and a hard drive backup? We get to direct that, Kristie, so we need as a group to probably figure out what type of storage we want.

MS. VALTIERRA: Right. They will quote us with storage or without storage on

every quote that we get.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: This is

Kelly. In terms of how we store the

documents, does anyone have any preferences or
recommendations, hard drive versus cloud,
versus both?

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: This is Rick Brunetti. And, Kelly, the only thing I would recommend is to have something that is searchable.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Okay.
That's a very good aspect of records, I
think.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Are there any other questions, comments, suggestions, before we move on?

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Me too.

(No response)

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: I think what I will do to conclude to make sure that we're clear what our next steps are, in our next regular meeting I will -- well, I don't know if we'll do it at the regular meeting or a special meeting, we will put this on the agenda to formalize a records retention policy

or program and, hopefully, we can also talk about contracting for digitizing, and we'll have the inventory at that time. So we'll put -- we'll keep all that on the agenda and we can work through it. And then, I guess, the other thing we need to think about is if we have a records management program, does that need to be a part of the bylaws or is it just a standalone thing? And I don't need an answer today but, Kendra, maybe you could think about that.

MS. ROBBEN: My inclination would be that we would just adopt the records retention policy as a separate standalone policy and then it wouldn't require an amendment of the bylaws to do so.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Okay.

MS. ROBBEN: But I do think it would be helpful once we get the -- kind of an inventory for -- I mean, and I can certainly work with Kristie on that to sort of cross-reference the kind of records we have with the draft -- the draft retention policy just so that it seems that we're clearly covering what you do have and it's

really clear to us which is what -- the things that we already do have, what category they're in and, therefore, what the policy would be on each of them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Okay. Ιf there's no other questions or comments, we can move on to the next topic which is a discussion of the status of the audit. you remember, this special meeting was set to approve the audit and I'm sorry to report that we do not have the audit in. remember we -- because of Covid and telework and just disruption, we got behind and are waiting for audits on fiscal year '19, '20 and '21, which is the current year. going to turn it over to Kristie Valtierra, the Administrator, to discuss what she knows about the status of the audit and where we're headed with it.

MS. VALTIERRA: This is Kristie.

I am working with Michael Kennan, the new auditor, almost daily now. He's getting to the very end of it. So, hopefully, we will have it pretty soon. Brian, who normally did our audit and who was very familiar with the

Commission, has left the firm. And so because of Covid, and they've had some staffing issues, that is why they have been behind.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Does anyone have questions or comments about the status of the audit?

LOUISIANA COMMISSIONER: This is Scott Blackwell. Will we have to have another meeting to approve those before our regular meeting?

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: We will. This is Kelly. We will. We have that as an agenda item below but we can talk about it now since it's sort of on topic. I don't want to anticipate the date certain when we're going to have the audit. I know that once we get it, we want to share it with the Commissioners and give everyone a chance to familiarize themselves with it before we sit down to formally approve it.

Kristie, do you have an estimated deadline from the auditor?

MS. VALTIERRA: He's still waiting on bank forms to return because, you know, I

Scott

have to sign them and then he mails them out and the bank fills it out and provides him with the information, and he's still waiting on a couple of those. So, I'm -- I can ask him again but I'm not really sure at this time.

OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER:

this is Kelly, again -- I'm going to -- I'm going to -- you know, I'm always the eternal optimist, and I would hope that we would have it before the end of the year but I'm not certain that we will. So I'm just going to let you know, as soon as we get it we will get it out to you guys and I would -- maybe we can agree today to try to schedule something within "x" number of days of getting the audit. So maybe that's where we should head. Like, do we need 15, 20, 30 days, once we get it, before we have a meeting? Do you guys have any ideas there?

KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Kelly -- this is Rick Brunetti, again, Kansas. I have a question. Since we do not have an audit for '19, '20 and '21, are we going to get three separate audits or are all three of the years

going to be commingled into one report? 1 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: I believe 2 they're going to be separate audits. 3 KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Okav. OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Kristie, 5 can you confirm that? 6 MS. VALTIERRA: Yes. He's doing them separately. 8 KANSAS COMMISSIONER: In that case, 9 since we have three separate audits to look 10 through, I might suggest that we extend that 11 time a little bit. I would think 30 days 12 would be appropriate but, you know, I 13 wouldn't try to schedule a call within a week 14 of getting all three of them --15 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Okay. 16 KANSAS COMMISSIONER: -- because 17 that's just not going to give us enough time 18 to get through all three. 19 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Yes. 20 agree with that. Why don't we -- and then 21 we'll have schedules to consider, too. So if 22 we could just sort of conceptually agree that 23 we'll try to start scheduling something within 24 -- after 30 days of receipt of and -- and

25

dissemination of those audit reports, then we 1 could at least get something scheduled. 2 KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Absolutely. 3 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: If that 4 sounds okay to everybody. 5 KANSAS COMMISSIONER: You bet. 6 LOUISIANA COMMISSIONER: This is 7 Scott Blackwell. That sounds good. 8 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Okay. 9 Thank you. I'm hoping that we can nail this 10 down a little bit more tightly next year. 11 that's one of my goals for myself. 12 Any more questions, comments, 13 suggestions, on the status of the audit on 14 that agenda item? 15 (No response) 16 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Okay. 17 Kristie, back to you. 18 MS. VALTIERRA: If we are ready to 19 adjourn --20 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Well, you 21 have another agenda item on there. 22 MS. VALTIERRA: I'm sorry. 23 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: The 24 Commissioners Report? 25

MS. VALTIERRA: Does anybody have 1 2 anything that they would like to report from their state? 3 KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Nothing from 4 Kansas. 5 LOUISIANA COMMISSIONER: Nothing 6 from Louisiana. 7 OKLAHOMA COMMISSIONER: Nothing 8 from Oklahoma. 9 MS. VALTIERRA: Okay. Then if 10 everybody's in agreement, we can adjourn at 11 10:28. 12 KANSAS COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 13 14 (Meeting adjourned at 10:28 a.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF OKLAHOMA )
4	) ss:
5	COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA )
6	
7	I, CHRISTY A. MYERS, Certified
8	Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of
9	Oklahoma, do hereby certify that the above
10	referenced meeting was recorded by audio and
11	thereafter transcribed by me to the best of
12	my ability; and that I am neither attorney
13	for, nor relative of any of said parties, nor
14	otherwise interested in said action.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
16	set my hand and official seal on this, the
17	7th day of December, 2021.
18	
19	Christy Myers
20	
21	Christy A. Myers, C.S.R.
22	Certificate No. 00310
23	
24	
25	